arXiv:0902.0568v2 [math.CA] 4 Feb 2009

The truncated Fourier operator. III

Victor Katsnelson **Ronny Machluf**

Abstract

The spectral theory of the Fourier operator (non-truncated) is expounded. The known construction of basis of eigenvectors consisting of the Hermite functions is presented. The detail description of the eigenspaces in the spirit of a work by Hardy and Titchmarsh is done.

3 Spectral theory of the non-truncated Fourier-Plancherel operator

This manuscript may be considers a continuation of the manuscripts [KaMa1] and [KaMa2], but can be read independently of them.

The manuscript contains Section 3 of our work. In this section we expound the spectral theory of the non-truncated Fourier operator, that is of the operator $\mathcal{F}_E$, where $E = \mathbb{R}$. Here we denote this operator by $\mathcal{F}$ omitting the subscript E.

The spectral theory of the operator $\mathcal{F}$ is closely related to the spectral theory of the Hermite differential operator

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{d^2}{dt^2} + t^2. \tag{3.1}$$

The eigenfunction and eigenvalues of the Hermite differential operator can be found explicitly without directly solving the differential

Mathematics Subject Classification: (2000). Primary 47A38; Secondary 47B35, 47B06, 47A10.

Keywords: Fourier-Plancherel operator, quantum harmonic oscillator, the ladder operators method, creation and annihilation operators, the Melline transform.

equation, but using an algebraic method knows as *method of ladder operators*. This method was found by P.A.M. Dirac. (See [Dir, Chapt. 6, Sect.34]. The first edition of this book appeared on 1930.) See also [DiWi, Chapter 6, Section 6-2]. In the physical literature the operator which we named as the Hermite operator is usually named as the Hamiltonian of the one-dimensional quantum harmonic oscillator. One of the mail algebraic properties of the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}$ on the whole real axis is the relation of $\mathcal{F}$ to the operator M of multiplication by the independent variable:

$$Mx(t) = tx(t)$$

as well as to the operator D of differentiation with respect to the independent variable

$$Dx(t) = \frac{dx(t)}{dt}\,.$$

Let $x(t)$ be a smooth function vanishing fast enough on the infinity. Then, taking the derivative under the integral, we obtain.

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} x(\xi)e^{it\xi}\,d\xi = i\int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} \xi x(\xi)e^{it\xi}\,d\xi\,.$$

Integrating by parts, we obtain

$$\int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dx(\xi)}{d\xi}\,e^{it\xi}\,d\xi = -it\int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} x(\xi)e^{it\xi}\,d\xi\,.$$

The last two equalities can be symbolically written as

$$D\mathcal{F} = i\mathcal{F}M, \tag{3.2a}$$

$$M\mathcal{F} = i\mathcal{F}D\,. \tag{3.2b}$$

The equalities (3.2) prompt us to use operators D and M as building blocks for construction of differential operators commuting with $\mathcal{F}$.

The attempt to find the operator L commuting with $\mathcal{F}$ in the form of a linear combination of D and M: $L = \alpha D + \beta M$, where α and β are numbers, does not yield success. Multiplying (3.2b) with α, (3.2a) with β and adding, we obtain

$$\mathcal{F}(\alpha D + \beta M) = i(\beta D + \alpha M)\mathcal{F}\,.$$

If we assume that $\mathcal{F}L = L\mathcal{F}$ for L of the form $L = \alpha D + \beta M$, that is

$$\mathcal{F}(\alpha D + \beta M) = (\alpha D + \beta M)\mathcal{F},$$

then

$$(\alpha D + \beta M)\mathcal{F} = i(\beta D + \alpha M)\mathcal{F}$$

with these α and β. Since the operator $\mathcal{F}$ is invertible, we may cancel on $\mathcal{F}$:

$$(\alpha D + \beta M) = i(\beta D + \alpha M)\,.$$

From the last equality it follows that

$$\alpha = i\beta, \quad \beta = i\alpha\,.$$

This homogeneous linear system has only trivial solution: $\alpha = 0$, $\beta = 0$.

However, the commutation equation od the form

$$\mathcal{F}L = \kappa L\mathcal{F}, \quad L = \alpha D + \beta M, \quad \text{where } \kappa,\, \alpha,\, \beta \text{ are numbers}, \tag{3.3}$$

is solvable. (This equation can be considered as an eigenvalue problem. The number κ plays the role of an eigenvalue, the pair $(\alpha,\, \beta)$ forms an eigenvector.) Substituting (3.2) into (3.3), we come to the equalities

$$-i\beta = \kappa\alpha, \quad -i\alpha = \kappa\beta\,. \tag{3.4}$$

The system (3.4) is has two eigenvalues: $\kappa = i$ and $\kappa = -i$. The eigenvector corresponding to $\kappa = i$ is: $\alpha = -1$, $\beta = 1$, the eigenvector corresponding to $\kappa = i$ is: $\alpha = 1$, $\beta = 1$. Let us introduce the linear combinations $\alpha D + \beta M$ corresponding to these eigenvectors α, β:

$$\mathfrak{a}^\dagger = -\frac{d}{dt} + t\,, \tag{3.5a}$$

$$\mathfrak{a} \;=\; \frac{d}{dt} + t\,. \tag{3.5b}$$

The last two formulas may be rewritten in the following way

$$(\mathfrak{a}^\dagger x)(t) = -e^{\frac{t^2}{2}}\frac{d}{dt}\Big(e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}}x(t)\Big), \quad (\mathfrak{a}x)(t) = e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}}\frac{d}{dt}\Big(e^{\frac{t^2}{2}}x(t)\Big)\,. \tag{3.5c}$$

The differential operator $\mathfrak{a}^\dagger$ is said to be the *creation operator*;
the differential operator $\mathfrak{a}$ is said to be the *annihilation operator*.
(The terminology comes from the quantum physics.)

Here we consider the operators $\mathfrak{a}^\dagger$ and $\mathfrak{a}$ as formal differential expressions and do not discuss their domains of definition. The algebraic

relations in which these operators are involved is all what is important for us. In this stage of consideration we may assume that the domains of definition $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{a}^\dagger}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ of the operators $\mathfrak{a}^\dagger$ and $\mathfrak{a}$ coincides with the Schwartz space $\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R})$: $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{a}^\dagger} = \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{a}} = \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R})$.

Definition 3.1. *The Schwartz space $\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R})$ consists of all functions $x(t)$ which are defined and infinitely differentiable on the whole real axis and satisfy the condition*

$$\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \left| t^p \frac{d^p x(t)}{dt} \right| < \infty \quad \textit{for every integer} \quad p \geq 0,\, q \geq 0\,. \tag{3.6}$$

The operator $\mathcal{F}$ maps the set $\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R})$ onto itself, $\mathcal{F}\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}) = \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R})$, the operators $\mathfrak{a}^\dagger$ and $\mathfrak{a}$ map $\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R})$ into itself:

$$\mathcal{F}\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}) = \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}), \quad \mathfrak{a}^\dagger\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}) \subseteq \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}), \quad \mathfrak{a}\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}) \subseteq \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R})\,.$$

The operators $\mathfrak{a}^\dagger$ and $\mathfrak{a}$ satisfy the fundamental commutational relation

$$\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{a}^\dagger - \mathfrak{a}^\dagger\mathfrak{a} = 2I, \tag{3.7}$$

where I is the identity operator in the space of functions.

The operators $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{a}^+$ are formally adjoint each to other: for every functions $x, y \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R})$, the equality holds

$$\langle \mathfrak{a}x, y\rangle = \langle x, \mathfrak{a}^+ y\rangle\,. \tag{3.8}$$

The equality (3.3), applied to those κ, α, β which was found by solving the equation (3.4), leads to the commutational relations:

$$\mathcal{F}\mathfrak{a}^\dagger = \quad i\mathfrak{a}^\dagger\mathcal{F}\,, \tag{3.9a}$$

$$\mathcal{F}\mathfrak{a} \ = -i\mathfrak{a}\ \mathcal{F}\,. \tag{3.9b}$$

From (3.9) it becomes clear how to construct the differential expression which commutes (formally) with $\mathcal{F}$. Let

$$W = (\mathfrak{a}^\dagger)^{k_1}\mathfrak{a}^{l_1}(\mathfrak{a}^\dagger)^{k_2}\mathfrak{a}^{l_2}\cdot\dots\cdot(\mathfrak{a}^\dagger)^{k_p}\mathfrak{a}^{l_p} \tag{3.10}$$

be a "word" composed from the "letters" $\mathfrak{a}^\dagger$ and $\mathfrak{a}$. From (3.9) it follows that

$$\mathcal{F}W = (-1)^\varepsilon W\mathcal{F}, \tag{3.11}$$

where $\varepsilon = k_1 + \dots + k_p - l_1 - \dots - l_p$. Thus under the condition

$$k_1 + \dots + k_p = l_1 + \dots + l_p$$

the differential expression W defined by (3.10) commutes with the Fourier operator $\mathcal{F}$. The order $\operatorname{ord} W$ of the (formal) differential operator W is:

$$\operatorname{ord} W = k_1 + \cdots + k_p + l_1 + \cdots + l_p \,.$$

The least "non-trivial" value for $\operatorname{ord} W$ is two. So, there exist two words W which present operators commuting with $\mathcal{F}$: $W = \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{a}^\dagger$ and $W = \mathfrak{a}^\dagger\mathfrak{a}$:

$$\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{a}^\dagger = -\frac{d^2}{dt^2} + t^2 + I \,; \tag{3.12a}$$

$$\mathfrak{a}^\dagger\mathfrak{a} = -\frac{d^2}{dt^2} + t^2 - I \,. \tag{3.12b}$$

Therefore the differential expression

$$L = -\frac{d^2}{dt^2} + t^2 \,, \tag{3.13}$$

commutes with $\mathcal{F}$:

$$\mathcal{F}L = L\mathcal{F} \,. \tag{3.14}$$

(We already know this even in more precise, non-formal formulation: see Theorem 2.2). From the equalities

$$L = \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{a}^\dagger - I \tag{3.15a}$$

$$L = \mathfrak{a}^\dagger\mathfrak{a} + I \tag{3.15b}$$

and from the fundamental commutational relation (3.7) it follows that

$$L\mathfrak{a}^\dagger - \mathfrak{a}^\dagger L = \quad 2\mathfrak{a}^\dagger \,, \tag{3.16a}$$

$$L\mathfrak{a} \ - \mathfrak{a}\ L = -2\mathfrak{a} \ \ . \tag{3.16b}$$

From (3.16a) it follows that the operator $\mathfrak{a}^\dagger$ is *raising operator* with respect to the operator L, and from (3.16b) it follows that the operator $\mathfrak{a}$ is *lowering operator* with respect to the operator L. This means that if h is an eigenvector of L corresponding to an eigenvalue λ:

$$Lh = \lambda h, \quad h \neq 0, \tag{3.17}$$

then

$$L(\mathfrak{a}^\dagger h) = (\lambda + 2)(\mathfrak{a}^\dagger h) \,, \tag{3.18a}$$

and

$$L(\mathfrak{a}h) = (\lambda - 2)(\mathfrak{a}h)\,. \tag{3.18b}$$

Thus, then the vector $\mathfrak{a}^\dagger h$ is an eigenvector of L corresponding the eigenvalue $\lambda{+}2$ if $\mathfrak{a}^\dagger h \neq 0$. Analogously, the vector $\mathfrak{a}h$ is an eigenvector of L corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda{-}2$ if $\mathfrak{a}h \neq 0$. So, the operator $\mathfrak{a}^\dagger$ increases, and the operator $\mathfrak{a}$ decreases the eigenvalue of the operator L. Rising and lowering operators are collectively known as *ladder operators*.

From (3.16) and (3.7) one deduces by induction that

$$L(\mathfrak{a}^\dagger)^n - (\mathfrak{a}^\dagger)^n L = \quad 2n(\mathfrak{a}^\dagger)^n\,, \quad n = 0,\, 1,\, 2,\, \ldots\,, \tag{3.19a}$$

$$L\mathfrak{a}^n \;-\; \mathfrak{a}^n L \;\; = -2n\mathfrak{a}^n\,, \qquad n = 0,\, 1,\, 2,\, \ldots\,. \tag{3.19b}$$

If h is an eigenvector of L corresponding the eigenvalue of λ, then

$$L(\mathfrak{a}^\dagger)^n h = (\lambda + 2n)(\mathfrak{a}^\dagger)^n h\,, \qquad n = 0,\, 1,\, 2,\, \ldots\,. \tag{3.20a}$$

and

$$L\mathfrak{a}^n h = (\lambda - 2n)\mathfrak{a}^n h\,, \quad n = 0,\, 1,\, 2,\, \ldots\,. \tag{3.20b}$$

Lemma 3.1.

1. *The equation $\mathfrak{a}^\dagger h = 0$ has no non-zero solutions from $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.*

2. *Every solution of the equation $\mathfrak{a}h = 0$ is of the form $h(t) = ch_0(t)$, where c is a constant, and*

$$h_0(t) = e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}}\,. \tag{3.21}$$

Proof. The equation $\mathfrak{a}h = 0$ is the differential equation

$$\frac{dh}{dt} + th(t) = 0\,.$$

Every solution $h(t)$ of this equation is of the form $h(t) = ch_0(t)$. The equation $\mathfrak{a}^\dagger h = 0$ is the differential equation

$$-\frac{dh}{dt} + th(t) = 0\,.$$

Every solution $h(t)$ of this equation is of the form $h(t) = ce^{\frac{t^2}{2}}$, and does not belong to $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ if is not identically zero. □

Now we are in position to do the spectral analysis of the operator L. Let us denote

$$h_n(t) = (\mathfrak{a}^\dagger)^n h_0(t), \quad n = 0,\, 1,\, 2,\, \dots\,. \tag{3.22}$$

By Lemma 3.1, $h_n \not\equiv 0$, $n = 0,\, 1,\, 2,\, \dots$. Using the explicit expression (3.5a) for the operator $\mathfrak{a}^\dagger$, we obtain by induction that

$$h_n(t) = H_n(t) e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}}, \tag{3.23a}$$

where

$$H_n(t) = t^n + \cdots \tag{3.23b}$$

is an unitary polynomial of degree n. Thus,

$$h_n(t) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}).$$

A little bit different representation of h_n can be obtained from (3.22) and (3.5c):

$$h_n(t) = e^{\frac{t^2}{2}} \left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^k e^{-t^2}\,. \tag{3.24}$$

From (3.15b) and $\mathfrak{a} h_0 = 0$ it follows that

$$L h_0 = h_0\,. \tag{3.25}$$

From (3.25) and (3.20a) it follows that for $n = 0,\, 1,\, 2,\, \dots$,

$$L h_n = \lambda_n h_n,$$

where

$$\lambda_n = 2n + 1, \quad n = 0,\, 1,\, 2,\, \dots\,. \tag{3.26}$$

Thus we have constructed the sequence of eigenfunctions (3.22)-(3.23) of the differential operator L. The operator L is defined by the differential expression (3.13) on the set $\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R})$ defined above in Definition 3.1.

The operator L defined on the set $\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R})$ is symmetric with respect to the standard scalar product $\langle\,.\,,\,.\,\rangle$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$:

$$\langle Lx, y\rangle = \langle x, Ly\rangle \quad \forall x, y \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R})\,. \tag{3.27}$$

Every eigenfunction $h_n(t)$, (3.23), belongs to the space $\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R})$, and all eigenvalues λ_n, (3.26), are pairwise different. These properties ensure that the eigenfunctions h_n are pairwise orthogonal:

$$\langle h_p, h_q\rangle = 0, \quad \forall \;\; 0 \le p, q < \infty,\, p \ne q\,. \tag{3.28}$$

Lemma 3.2. *The system $\{h_n\}_{0\le n<\infty}$ is complete in the space $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, i.e. the linear span of this system is a set which is dense in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.*

Proof. From (3.23) it follows that

$$\operatorname{span}\{h_n(t)\}_{0\le n<\infty} = \operatorname{span}\{t^n e^{\frac{t^2}{2}}\}_{0\le n<\infty}\,.$$

If the system $\{h_n\}_{0\le n<\infty}$ is not complete in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, then the system $\{t^n e^{\frac{t^2}{2}}\}_{0\le n<\infty}$ also is not complete in the space $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Then there exists a function $\varphi\in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ which is orthogonal to every $t^n e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}}$, that is

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(t)\, t^n e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}}\, dt = 0 \quad \forall n = 0,\, 1,\, 2,\, \ldots\,. \tag{3.29}$$

Let us consider the function

$$f(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(t) e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}} e^{izt}\, dt\,.$$

Since $\varphi\in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, the above integral exists for every $z\in\mathbb{C}$ and represents a function holomorphic in the whole complex plane $\mathbb{C}$. The orthogonality conditions (3.29) that all derivatives $f^{(n)}$ of the function f vanish at the origin: $f^{(n)}(0) = 0,\ n = 0,\, 1,\, 2,\, \ldots$. By the uniqueness theorem for analytic functions, $f(z)\equiv 0$. In particular, $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(t) e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}} e^{i\xi t}\, dt = 0$ for every $\xi\in\mathbb{R}$. By the uniqueness theorem for the Fourier integral, $\varphi(t)e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}}\equiv 0$, hence $\varphi(t)\equiv 0$. □

A complete orthogonal system in a Hilbert space is an orthogonal basis of this space. Thus the following result was established:

Lemma 3.3. *The system $\{h_n(t)\}_{0\le n<\infty}$, (3.22)-(3.23), is an orthogonal basis of the space $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.*

It turns out that we have find *all* eigenfunctions of L. There is no eigenfunctions essentially other then h_n. To make the formulation precise we have to define accurately the appropriate operator focusing our attention on its domain of definition.

Definition 3.2. *Domain of definition $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}}$ of the operator $\mathcal{L}$ consists of functions $x(t)$ which are defined at every point of the real axis $\mathbb{R}$ and satisfy the conditions:*

1. *x is differentiable at every point $t \in \mathbb{R}$, its derivative $\dfrac{dx(t)}{dt}$ is absolutely continuous on every finite subinterval of $\mathbb{R}$;*
2. $x(t) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$;
3. $-\dfrac{d^2x(t)}{dt^2} + t^2x(t) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$;

The action of the operator $\mathcal{L}$ on x is defined as:

$$(\mathcal{L}x)(t) = -\frac{d^2x(t)}{dt^2} + t^2x(t) \quad \text{for} \quad x \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}}\,. \tag{3.30}$$

Lemma 3.4. *Let a function $x(t)$ belongs to the domain of definition of the operator . (See* Definition 3.2*).*

Then

$$\lim_{t\to\pm\infty} x(t) = 0, \quad \lim_{t\to\pm\infty} \frac{dx(t)}{dt} = 0\,. \tag{3.31}$$

Proof. The function $\left(-\dfrac{d^2x(t)}{dt^2} + t^2x(t)\right)\cdot\overline{x(t)}$ belongs to $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ since each of the factors of this product belongs to $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Therefore, there exists the finite limit

$$\lim_{\substack{a\to-\infty\\ b\to+\infty}} \int_a^b \left(-\frac{d^2x(t)}{dt^2} + t^2x(t)\right)\overline{x(t)}\,dt\,.$$

Integrating by parts, we obtain

$$\int_a^b \left(-\frac{d^2x(t)}{dt^2} + t^2x(t)\right)\overline{x(t)}\,dt = \\ -\frac{dx(t)}{dt}\,\overline{x(t)}\Big|_{t=a}^{t=b} + \int_a^b \left(\left|\frac{dx(t)}{dt}\right|^2 + t^2|x(t)|^2\right)dt\,.$$

For fixed a, there exists the limit $\lim_{b\to\infty}\int_a^b \left(\left|\dfrac{dx(t)}{dt}\right|^2 + t^2|x(t)|^2\right)dt$, finite or infinite. Hence, there exists the limit

$$\lim_{b\to+\infty} \frac{d|x(t)|^2}{dt}\Big|_{t=b} = \lim_{b\to+\infty}\left(\frac{dx(t)}{dt}\,\overline{x(t)} + \overline{\frac{dx(t)}{dt}}\,x(t)\right)\Big|_{t=b},$$

finite or infinite. The last limit must be equal to zero, otherwise $\lim_{t\to\infty}|x(t)| = +\infty$, and the condition $x \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ shall be violated. Since $\lim_{t\to\infty}\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = 0$ and $x \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, the condition $\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t) = 0$ will be satisfied. □

Lemma 3.5. *The operator $\mathcal{L}$ is a symmetric operator in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, that is*

$$\langle \mathcal{L}x, y\rangle = \langle x, \mathcal{L}y\rangle \quad \forall\, x,\, y \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}}\,. \tag{3.32}$$

Proof. The equality (3.32) is obtained integrating by part twice on fixed finite interval and then passing to the limit as the endpoints of this interval tend to $\mp\infty$. The term out the integral vanish according to Lemma 3.4. □

Lemma 3.6. *If a number λ is an eigenvalue of the operator $\mathcal{L}$, then the multiplicity of λ is equal to one.*

Proof. Let $u(t)$ and $v(t)$ are eigenfunction of $\mathcal{L}$ corresponding to the same eigenvalue λ:

$$u \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}}, \quad v \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}}, \mathcal{L}u = \lambda u,\ \mathcal{L}v = \lambda v\,.$$

Since both functions, $u(t)$ and $v(t)$ are solutions of the same differential equation of the form

$$-\frac{d^2x(t)}{dt^2} + t^2x(t) - \lambda x(t) = 0\,,$$

the Wronskian $W(t) = \dfrac{du(t)}{dt}v(t) - u(t)\dfrac{dv(t)}{dt}$ does not depend on t. According to Lemma 3.4, $\lim_{t\to\pm\infty} W(t) = 0$. Hence $W(t) \equiv 0$, and the functions $u(t)$ and $v(t)$ are proportional. □

Lemma 3.7. *Let $h(t) \not\equiv 0$ be an eigenfunction of differential operators $\mathcal{L}$,(See* Definition 3.2*), corresponding an eigenvalue λ:*

$$h \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}},\ \mathcal{L} = \lambda h.$$

Then λ coincides with one of the eigenvalues (3.26)*: $\lambda = \lambda_{n_0}$ for some n_0, and the function $h(t)$ is proportional to the appropriate eigenfunction: $h(t) = ch_{n_0}(t)$, where c is a constant.*

Proof. Combining the equalities

$$Lh_n = \lambda_n h_n, \quad Lh = \lambda h, \quad \langle Lh_n, h\rangle = \langle h_n, Lh\rangle,$$

we obtain that

$$(\lambda_n - \lambda)\langle h_n, h\rangle = 0\,.$$

If λ differs from any of eigenvalues λ_n, then $\langle h_n, h\rangle = 0\ \forall\, n$. Since the system $\{h_n\}_{0\le n<\infty}$ is complete in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, and $h \neq 0$, this is impossible. Thus, $\lambda = \lambda_{n_0}$ for some n_0. By Lemma 3.4, the eigenvalue λ_{n_0} is of multiplicity one. Thus, the eigenfunction h is proportional to the eigenfunction h_{n_0}. □

We already know, (3.14), see also **Theorem 2.2**, that the differential operator $\mathfrak{L}$ commutes with the operator $\mathcal{F}$:

$$\mathfrak{L}\mathcal{F}x = \mathcal{F}\mathfrak{L}x \quad \forall\, x \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R})\,. \tag{3.33}$$

The eigenfunctions h_n, (3.22)-(3.23), belongs the Schwartz space $\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R})$. Thus, the equality (3.33) is applicable to $x = h_n$:

$$\mathfrak{L}\mathcal{F}h_n = \mathcal{F}\mathfrak{L}h_n\,. \tag{3.34}$$

Since h_n is an eigenvector of $\mathfrak{L}$ corresponding to the eigenvalue λ_n:

$$\mathfrak{L}h_n = \lambda_n h_n\,,$$

we see from (3.34), that

$$\mathfrak{L}(\mathcal{F}h_n) = \lambda_n(\mathcal{F}h_n)\,. \tag{3.35}$$

The last equality means that the vector $\mathcal{F}h_n$ also is an eigenvector of $\mathfrak{L}$ corresponding to the eigenvalue λ_n. Since eigenvalues of the operator $\mathfrak{L}$ are of multiplicity one, (Lemma 3.6), The vector $\mathcal{F}h_n$ is proportional to the vector h_n:

$$\mathcal{F}h_n = \mu_n h_n\,. \tag{3.36}$$

However the operator $\mathcal{F}$ is unitary,

$$\mathcal{F}^*\mathcal{F} = I\,, \tag{3.37}$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}^2 = -I. \tag{3.38}$$

The last equality is a consequence of the unitarity of $\mathcal{F}$, (3.37), and the relation

$$(\mathcal{F}^* x)(t) = (\mathcal{F}x)(-t) \quad \forall\, x.$$

From (3.38), the spectral mapping theorem and unitarity of $\mathcal{F}$ it follows that the eigenvalues of $\mathcal{F}$ are among the numbers $\lambda = 1$, $\lambda = -1$, $\lambda = i$, $\lambda = -1$, and that the space $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is the orthogonal sum of the appropriate eigenspaces:

$$L^2(\mathbb{R}) = \mathcal{X}_1 \oplus \mathcal{X}_{-1} \oplus \mathcal{X}_i \oplus \mathcal{X}_{-i}\,, \tag{3.39a}$$

$$\mathcal{F}x = \lambda x \ \text{ for } \ x \in \mathcal{X}_\lambda, \ \ \lambda = 1,\, -1,\, i,\, -i\,. \tag{3.39b}$$

Thus, in (3.36) μ_n may take only one of four possible values: 1, -1, i, $-i$. For $n = 0$, the equality means

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\xi^2}{2}} e^{i\xi t}\, d\xi = \mu_0\, e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}}\,.$$

Setting $t = 0$ in the last equality, we obtain

$$\mu_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\xi^2}{2}}\, d\xi$$

In particular, $\mu_0 > 0$. Hence,

$$\mu_0 = 1\,. \tag{3.40}$$

From (3.9a) we obtain that

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{a}^\dagger)^n x = i^n\, \mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{a}^\dagger)^n x \quad \forall x \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R})\,. \tag{3.41}$$

(The last equality is a particular case of the equality (3.10)-(3.11).) Substituting $x = h_0$ into (3.41) and taking into account (3.22), we see that

$$\mathcal{F}h_n = i^n h_n\,, \quad n = 0,\, 1,\, 2,\, \ldots\ . \tag{3.42a}$$

So,

$$\mu_n = i^n, \quad n = 0,\, 1,\, 2,\, \ldots\ . \tag{3.42b}$$

Thus, we obtain the following result:

Theorem 3.1.

1. *The spectrum ow the Fourier operator $\mathcal{F}$, considered in the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, consists of four points:*

$$\mu = 1,\ \mu = -1,\ \mu = i,\ \mu = -i\,.$$

Each of this points is an eigenvalue of infinite multiplicity.

2. *The space $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is the orthogonal sum (3.39) of the appropriate eigenspaces $\mathcal{X}_\lambda$, $\lambda = 1,\ i,\ -1,\ -i$:*

$$L^2(\mathbb{R}) = \mathcal{X}_1 \oplus \mathcal{X}_{-1} \oplus \mathcal{X}_i \oplus \mathcal{X}_{-i}\,,$$
$$\mathcal{F}x = \lambda x \quad \text{for} \quad x \in \mathcal{X}_\lambda, \quad \lambda = 1,\ -1,\ i,\ -i\,.$$

Each of these eigenspaces $\mathcal{X}_\lambda$ is infinite-dimensional.

3. *The systems*

$$\begin{aligned} &\{h_{4k} \quad\}_{k=0,\,1,\,2,\,\ldots}\,,\ \{h_{4k+1}\}_{k=0,\,1,\,2,\,\ldots}\,,\\ &\{h_{4k+2}\}_{k=0,\,1,\,2,\,\ldots}\,,\ \{h_{4k+3}\}_{k=0,\,1,\,2,\,\ldots}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{3.43}$$

form orthogonal bases in the eigenspace $\mathcal{X}_1$, $\mathcal{X}_i$, $\mathcal{X}_{-1}$, $\mathcal{X}_{-i}$ respectively.

The system $\{h_n\}_{n=0,\,1,\,2,\,\ldots}$ is not normalized. Let us normalize this system. By induction with respect to n we derive from (3.7) and (3.22) that

$$\mathfrak{a}h_n = 2nh_{n-1},\ n = 1,\,2,\,\ldots\,;\quad \mathfrak{a}h_0 = 0\,. \tag{3.44}$$

From (3.22), (3.8) and (3.44),

$$\langle h_n, h_n\rangle = \langle \mathfrak{a}^\dagger h_{n-1}, h_n\rangle = \langle h_{n-1}, \mathfrak{a}h_n\rangle = 2n\langle h_{n-1}, h_{n-1}\rangle\,.$$

Iterating the equality $\langle h_n, h_n\rangle = 2n\langle h_{n-1}, h_{n-1}\rangle$, we obtain

$$\langle h_n, h_n\rangle = 2^n n!\langle h_0, h_0\rangle\,.$$

By direct calculation, $\langle h_n, h_n\rangle = \pi$. Thus,

$$\langle h_n, h_n\rangle = \pi 2^n n!\,,\quad n = 0,\,1,\,2,\,\ldots\,. \tag{3.45}$$

Let us introduce the normalized vectors

$$e_n = \pi^{-1/2}2^{-\frac{n}{2}}(n!)^{-1/2}h_n\,,\quad n = 0,\,1,\,2,\,\ldots\,. \tag{3.46}$$

The system $\{e_n\}_{n=0,\,1,\,2,\ldots}$ forms an *orthonormal* basis of the space $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, and

$$\mathcal{L}e_n = \lambda_n e_n, \quad \mathcal{F}e_n = \mu_n e_n, \quad n = 0,\, 1,\, 2,\, \ldots\,, \tag{3.47}$$

where

$$\lambda_n = 2n + 1, \quad \mu_n = i^n\,. \tag{3.48}$$

The domain of definition $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}}$ of the operator $\mathcal{L}$ can be described as follows:

Lemma 3.8. *A vector x from $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ belongs to the domain of definition $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}}$ of the operator $\mathcal{L}$ which was introduced in* Definition 3.2 *if and only if the coefficients c_n of the expansion of x in the Fourier series*

$$x = \sum_{0\le n<\infty} c_n e_n$$

with respect the orthonormal basis $\{e_n\}_{n=0,\,1,\,2,\ldots}$ satisfy the condition

$$\sum_{0\le n<\infty} \lambda_n^2 |c_n|^2 < \infty\,.$$

The vector $\mathcal{L}x$ is represented by the ortogonal series

$$\mathcal{L}x = \sum_{0\le n<\infty} \lambda_n c_n e_n\,.$$

If $x = \sum\limits_{0\le n<\infty} c_n(x)e_n$ and $\mathcal{F}x \sum\limits_{0\le n<\infty} c_n(\mathcal{F}x)e_n$are the Fourier expansions of the vectors x and $\mathcal{F}x$ with respect to the orthonormal basis coomposed of the normalised eigenvectors of $\mathcal{F}$, then $c_n(\mathcal{F}x) = i^n c_n(x)$. In particular, $|c_n(x)| = |c_n(\mathcal{F}x|)$.

From Lemma (3.8) wi derive the following theorem, which is L^2-version of Theorem 2.2:

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be the differential operator which was introduced in* Definition 3.2.

1. *A function x belongs the domain of definition $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}}$ of the operator $\mathcal{L}$ if and only if the function $\mathcal{F}x$ belongs to $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}}$.*
2. *If $x \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}}$, then*
$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L}x) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}x)\,.$$

Theorem 3.1 gives a characterization of vectors from the eigenspaces $\mathcal{X}_\lambda$ of the Fourier operator $\mathcal{F}$ in terms of their Fourier coefficients of these vectors with respect to the orthogonal system $\{h_n\}_{n=0,\,1,\,2,\,\dots}$. Recall that this system was introduced by (3.22)-(3.21)-(3.5a).

Below we present another characterization of the eigenspaces $\mathcal{X}_\lambda$ in the spirit of the work [HaTi1] by Hardy and Titchmarsh, where the description of the subspace $\mathcal{X}_1$ was done. (The results of the works [HaTi1] and [HaTi2] were reproduced in the book [Tit].)

Functions $x(t)$ which belongs to the eigenspace $\mathcal{X}_\lambda$, $\lambda = 1,\ -1,\ i,\ -i$ of the Fourier operator $\mathcal{F}$ are described as the inverse Melline transform

$$x(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi\Big(\frac{1}{2} + i\eta\Big) t^{-\left(\frac{1}{2}+i\eta\right)}\, d\eta\,, \quad 0 < t < \infty\,, \tag{3.49}$$

where the form of the function $\varphi(\zeta)$ depends on λ. (Eigenfunctions $x(t)$ are either even, if $\lambda = \pm 1$, or odd, if $\lambda = \pm i$. Therefore it is enough to describe their restrictions on the positive half-axis only.)

For $\lambda = \pm 1$, φ is of the form

$$\varphi\Big(\frac{1}{2} + \zeta\Big) = \psi(\zeta) \cdot 2^{\frac{\zeta}{2}} \Gamma\Big(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\zeta}{2}\Big)\,, \tag{3.50a}$$

for $\lambda = \pm i$, φ is of the form

$$\varphi\Big(\frac{1}{2} + \zeta\Big) = \psi(\zeta) \cdot 2^{\frac{\zeta}{2}} \Gamma\Big(\frac{3}{4} + \frac{\zeta}{2}\Big)\,, \tag{3.50b}$$

where the function ψ is even if $\lambda = 1$ or $\lambda = i$, and the function ψ is odd if $\lambda = -1$ or $\lambda = -i$.

Thus, for $\lambda = \pm 1$, the representation (3.49) takes the form

$$x(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(i\eta) \cdot 2^{\frac{i\eta}{2}} \Gamma\Big(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{i\eta}{2}\Big) t^{-\left(\frac{1}{2}+i\eta\right)}\, d\eta\,, \quad 0 < t < \infty\,, \tag{3.51a}$$

and for $\lambda = \pm i$, the representation (3.49) takes the form

$$x(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(i\eta) \cdot 2^{\frac{i\eta}{2}} \Gamma\Big(\frac{3}{4} + \frac{i\eta}{2}\Big) t^{-\left(\frac{1}{2}+i\eta\right)}\, d\eta\,, \quad 0 < t < \infty\,, \tag{3.51b}$$

The function ψ, which is defined merely on the imaginary axis , serves as a 'free' parameter. The only restrictions on ψ is its evenness

(or oddness) and the convergence of the integral

$$\int_{\infty}^{\infty} \left|\varphi\Big(\frac{1}{2}+i\eta\Big)\right|^2 d\eta < \infty\,. \tag{3.52}$$

For $\lambda = \pm 1$, the condition (3.52) takes the form

$$\int_{\infty}^{\infty} |\psi(i\eta)|^2 \left|\Gamma\Big(\frac{1}{4}+i\frac{\eta}{2}\Big)\right|^2 d\eta < \infty\,, \tag{3.53a}$$

for $\lambda = \pm i$, the condition (3.52) takes the form

$$\int_{\infty}^{\infty} |\psi(i\eta)|^2 \left|\Gamma\Big(\frac{3}{4}+i\frac{\eta}{2}\Big)\right|^2 d\eta < \infty\,. \tag{3.53b}$$

Theorem 3.3.

1. *Let the function x from $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ belongs to the eigenspace $\mathcal{X}_1$ of the Fourier operator $\mathcal{F}$. Then the function x is representable in the form* (3.51a) *on the positive half-axis $\mathbb{R}_+$, where ψ is an even function defined on the imaginary axis and satisfies the condition* (3.53a).

   Conversely, if ψ is an even function defined on the imaginary axis and satisfying the condition (3.53a), *and the function $x(t)$ is defined by* (3.51a) *on the positive half-axis $\mathbb{R}_+$ and is extended from $\mathbb{R}_+$ to $\mathbb{R}$ as an even function, then x belongs to the eigenspaces $\mathcal{X}_1$ of the operator $\mathcal{F}$.*

2. *Let the function x from $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ belongs to the eigenspace $\mathcal{X}_{-1}$ of the Fourier operator $\mathcal{F}$. Then the function x is representable in the form* (3.51a) *on the positive half-axis $\mathbb{R}_+$, where ψ is an odd function defined on the imaginary axis and satisfies the condition* (3.53a).

   Conversely, if ψ is an odd function defined on the imaginary axis and satisfying the condition (3.53a), *and the function $x(t)$ is defined by* (3.51a) *on the positive half-axis $\mathbb{R}_+$ and is extended from $\mathbb{R}_+$ to $\mathbb{R}$ as an odd function, then x belongs to the eigenspaces $\mathcal{X}_{-1}$ of the operator $\mathcal{F}$.*

3. *Let the function x from $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ belongs to the eigenspace $\mathcal{X}_i$ of the Fourier operator $\mathcal{F}$. Then the function x is representable in the form* (3.51b) *on the positive half-axis $\mathbb{R}_+$, where ψ is an even function defined on the imaginary axis and satisfies the condition* (3.53b).

   Conversely, if ψ is an even function defined on the imaginary axis and satisfying the condition (3.53b), *and the function $x(t)$ is defined by* (3.51b) *on the positive half-axis $\mathbb{R}_+$ and is extended from $\mathbb{R}_+$ to $\mathbb{R}$ as an even function, then x belongs to the eigenspaces $\mathcal{X}_i$ of the operator $\mathcal{F}$.*

4. *Let the function x from $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ belongs to the eigenspace $\mathcal{X}_{-i}$ of the Fourier operator $\mathcal{F}$. Then the function x is representable in the form* (3.51b) *on the positive half-axis $\mathbb{R}_+$, where ψ is an odd function defined on the imaginary axis and satisfies the condition* (3.53b).

   Conversely, if ψ is an odd function defined on the imaginary axis and satisfying the condition (3.53b), *and the function $x(t)$ is defined by* (3.51b) *on the positive half-axis $\mathbb{R}_+$ and is extended from $\mathbb{R}_+$ to $\mathbb{R}$ as an odd function, then x belongs to the eigenspaces $\mathcal{X}_{-i}$ of the operator $\mathcal{F}$.*

In each of the four cases, the correspondence between $x(t)$ and $\psi(i\eta)$ is one-to-one. Moreover, the Parseval identity holds, which is of the form

$$\int_0^\infty |x(t)|^2 dt = \int_\infty^\infty |\psi(i\eta)|^2 \left|\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4} + i\frac{\eta}{2}\right)\right|^2 d\eta \tag{3.54}$$

in the cases 1 *or* 2, *and of the form*

$$\int_0^\infty |x(t)|^2 dt = \int_\infty^\infty |\psi(i\eta)|^2 \left|\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4} + i\frac{\eta}{2}\right)\right|^2 d\eta$$

in the cases 3 *or* 4 .

Let us recall some well known facts:

The identities for the Euler Gamma-function $\Gamma(\zeta)$:

$$\Gamma(\zeta+1)=\zeta\Gamma(\zeta)\,, \qquad \text{see [WhWa], } \mathbf{12.12}, \tag{3.55}$$

$$\Gamma(\zeta)\Gamma(1-\zeta)=\frac{\pi}{\sin\pi\zeta}\,, \qquad \text{see [WhWa], } \mathbf{12.14}, \tag{3.56}$$

$$\Gamma(\zeta)\Gamma\Big(\zeta+\frac{1}{2}\Big)=2\sqrt{\pi}\,2^{-2\zeta}\Gamma(2\zeta), \qquad \text{see [WhWa], } \mathbf{12.15}. \tag{3.57}$$

The Melline transform.

The Mellin transform is an integral transform that may be regarded as the multiplicative version of the two-sided Laplace transform. The Melline transform serves to link Dirichlet series with automorphic functions. In particular, the inversion formula plays a role in the proof of functional equation for Dirichlet series similar to that for the Riemann zeta-function. See the article "Melline Transform" on the page 192 of [ME, Volume 6] and references there. See also the article "The Melline Trannsform" in Wikipedia.

Let $f(t)$ be a function defined for $0\le t<\infty$. *The Melline transform* of the function $f(t)$ is said to be the function $\varphi(\zeta)$:

$$\varphi(\zeta)=\int\limits_0^\infty t^{\,\zeta-1}f(t)\,dt\,. \tag{3.58}$$

The Melline transform exists for those (complex) ζ for which the integral in (3.58) exists. If the function f is locally integrable on $(0,\infty)$, and for some real u, $v: u<v$, the estimates hold:

$$f(t)=O(t^{-u}) \ \text{ as } \ t\to+0, \quad f(t)=O(t^{-v}) \ \text{ as } \ t\to+\infty\,, \tag{3.59}$$

then the integral in (3.58) exists for ζ from the vertical strip $\{\zeta:\ u<\mathrm{Re}\zeta<v\}$, and the inversion formula

$$f(t)=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int\limits_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} t^{-\zeta}\,\varphi(\zeta)\,d\zeta\,, \quad 0<t<\infty\,, \tag{3.60}$$

holds, where the integration can be performed over any vertical straight line $\mathrm{Re}\,\zeta=c$ with $u<c<v$. In particular, if $u<\frac{1}{2}<v$, then $f\in L^2(0,\infty)$, the function $\varphi(\zeta)$ is defined on the vertical line $\mathrm{Re}\,\zeta=\frac{1}{2}$, and the Parseval equality

$$\int\limits_0^\infty |f(t)|^2\,dt=\frac{1}{2\pi}\int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty}\Big|\varphi\Big(\frac{1}{2}+i\eta\Big)\Big|^2\,d\eta \tag{3.61}$$

holds. The set of functions $f(t)$ satisfying the conditions (3.59) for some $u, v : u < \frac{1}{2} < v$, is dense in $L^2(0,\infty)$. By a standard approximation reasoning, which uses the Parseval identity (3.61), the Melline transform $\varphi(\zeta)$ can be defined for arbitrary function $f(t) \in L^2(0,\infty)$. This Melline transform $\varphi(\zeta)$ is defined as an L^2-function on the vertical line $\{\zeta : \operatorname{Re}\zeta = \frac{1}{2}\}$.

Vice versa, let $\varphi(\zeta)$ be an arbitrary function which is holomorphic in the strip $\{\zeta : \alpha < \operatorname{Re}\zeta < \beta\}$, where $\alpha < \frac{1}{2} < \beta$, and satisfies the estimate $|\varphi(\zeta)| = O(|\zeta|^{-2})$ as $|\zeta| \to \infty$ in this strip. We *define* the function $f(t)$ from φ by (3.60), where the integral is taken over an arbitrary line $\{\zeta : \operatorname{Re}\zeta = c\}$ with $\alpha < c < \beta$. Then the function f satisfy the estimates (3.59) with any arbitrary fixed u, $v : \alpha < u < v < \beta$. The formula (3.58), applied to this f, recovers the starting function φ. If a function $\varphi(\zeta)$ is defined only on the straight line $\{\zeta : \zeta = \frac{1}{2} + i\eta, -\infty < \eta < \infty\}$ and satisfy the condition $\int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left|\varphi(\frac{1}{2} + i\eta)\right|^2 d\eta < \infty$, we assign a meaning to the formula (3.60) by an approximation reasoning, which uses the Parseval identity (3.61).

Thus, there is one-to-one correspondence between functions $f(t)$ on the positive half-axis satisfying the condition $\int\limits_0^{\infty} |f(t)|^2\, dt < \infty$ and the functions $\varphi(\frac{1}{2} + i\eta)$ satisfying the condition $\int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left|\varphi(\frac{1}{2} + i\eta)\right|^2 d\eta < \infty$. This correspondence is established by the pair of formulas (3.58) *and* (3.60). *The Parseval identity* (3.61) *holds. If the functions $f(t)$ and $\varphi(\frac{1}{2} + i\eta)$ decay fast enough, then the integrals in* (3.58) *and* (3.60) *are understood in a literal sense, as Lebesgue integrals. For general (not fast decaying) functions f and φ from L^2, the integrals in* (3.58) *and* (3.60) *are assigned with a meaning by approximation reasonings.*

Before to prove Theorem 3.3, we curry out some calculation related to the Gamma function. We use the results of this calculations in the proof.

Lemma 3.9. *The following identities hold:*

$$\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\left(\cos\frac{\pi}{2}\zeta\right)\Gamma(\zeta) = 2^{\zeta-\frac{1}{2}}\frac{\Gamma(\frac{\zeta}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\zeta}{2})}\,, \tag{3.62a}$$

$$\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\left(\sin\frac{\pi}{2}\zeta\right)\Gamma(\zeta) = 2^{\zeta-\frac{1}{2}}\frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\zeta}{2})}{\Gamma(1-\frac{\zeta}{2})}\,. \tag{3.62b}$$

Proof. From (3.56) it follows that

$$\cos\frac{\pi}{2}\zeta = \frac{\pi}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\zeta}{2})\,\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\zeta}{2})}\,.$$

From (3.56) it follows that

$$\Gamma(\zeta) = \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}}\,\Gamma\big(\tfrac{\zeta}{2}\big)\,\Gamma\big(\tfrac{1}{2}+\tfrac{\zeta}{2}\big)\,2^{\zeta-1}\,.$$

Combining the last two formulas, we obtain (3.62a). Combining the last formula with the formula

$$\sin\frac{\pi}{2}\zeta = \frac{\pi}{\Gamma(\frac{\zeta}{2})\,\Gamma(1-\frac{\zeta}{2})}\,,$$

we obtain (3.62b). □

Lemma 3.10. *Let ζ belongs to the strip Π:*

$$\Pi = \big\{\zeta:\, 0 < \operatorname{Re}\zeta < 1\,\big\}\,. \tag{3.63}$$

Then

$$\int_0^\infty (\cos s)\, s^{\zeta-1}\, ds = \Big(\cos\frac{\pi}{2}\zeta\Big)\,\Gamma(\zeta)\,, \tag{3.64a}$$

$$\int_0^\infty (\sin s)\, s^{\zeta-1}\, ds = \Big(\sin\frac{\pi}{2}\zeta\Big)\,\Gamma(\zeta)\,, \tag{3.64b}$$

where the integrals in (3.64) *are understood in the sense*

$$\int_0^\infty \begin{Bmatrix}\cos s\\ \sin s\end{Bmatrix} s^{\,\zeta-1}\, ds = \lim_{R\to+\infty}\int_0^R \begin{Bmatrix}\cos s\\ \sin s\end{Bmatrix} s^{\,\zeta-1}\, ds\,,$$

and the limit exists uniformly with respect to ζ from any fixed compact subset of the strip Π.

Proof. We consider the closed contour L_R which is formed by the interval $[0,R]$ of the real axis, by the circle $C_R = \{\zeta:\, \zeta = Re^{i\theta},\, 0\le\theta\le\frac{\pi}{2}\}$, and by the interval $[0,iR]$ of the imaginary axes. We choose the counterclockwise orientation on L_R. We consider the function $f(z) = z^{\zeta-1}e^{iz}$ in the domain bounded by contour L_R. Here $z^{\zeta-1} = e^{(\zeta-1)\,\ln z}$,

and $0 \le \arg z \le \frac{\pi}{2}$ in the domain. By Cauchy theorem, $\int\limits_{L_R} f(z)\,dz = 0$. By Jordan lemma, $\lim_{R\to+\infty} \int\limits_{C_R} f(z)\,dz = 0$. Analysing the proof of Jordan lemma, we see, that the last limit is uniform with respect to ζ from any fixed compact subset of the strip Π. The limit $\lim_{R\to+\infty} \int\limits_0^{iR} f(z)\,dz$ exists end is uniform with respect to ζ since the integral here converges absolutely and uniformly with respect to ζ. On the interval $[0, iR]$, $z = se^{i\frac{\pi}{2}}$, where $s \ge 0$, and $dz = e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}}\,ds$. Hence

$$\int\limits_0^{iR} f(z)\,dz = \int\limits_0^R s^{\zeta-1}\,e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}(\zeta-1)}e^{-s}\,e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}}\,ds = e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}\zeta}\int\limits_0^R s^{\zeta-1}e^{-s}\,ds\,.$$

Thus,

$$\lim_{R\to+\infty}\int\limits_0^R s^{\zeta-1}e^{is}\,ds = e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}\zeta}\,\Gamma(\zeta)\,.$$

Analogously,

$$\lim_{R\to+\infty}\int\limits_0^R s^{\zeta-1}e^{-is}\,ds = e^{-i\frac{\pi}{2}\zeta}\,\Gamma(\zeta)\,.$$

□

Lemma 3.11. *Let a function $x(t)$ belongs to $L^2(0,\infty)$, and $\hat{x}_c(t)$ and $\hat{x}_s(t)$ are the cosine- and sine- Fourier transform of the function x:*

$$\hat{x}_c(t) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\int\limits_0^\infty x(s)\,\cos(ts)\,ds, \tag{3.65a}$$

$$\hat{x}_s(t) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\int\limits_0^\infty x(s)\,\sin(ts)\,ds \tag{3.65b}$$

Let $\varphi_x(\zeta)$, $\varphi_{\hat{x}_c}(\zeta)$ and $\varphi_{\hat{x}_s}(\zeta)$ be the Melline transforms of the functions x, $\hat{x}_c$ and $\hat{x}_s$ respectively. (All three functions x, $\hat{x}_c$, $\hat{x}_s$ belong to $L^2(0,\infty)$, so their Melline transforms exists and are L^2 functions on the vertical line $\zeta = \frac{1}{2} + i\eta$, $-\infty < \eta < \infty$.)

Then for $\zeta = \frac{1}{2} + i\eta$, $-\infty < \eta < \infty$, *the equalities*

$$\varphi_{\hat{x}_c}(\zeta) = \varphi_x(1-\zeta) \cdot 2^{\zeta - \frac{1}{2}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\zeta}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\zeta}{2})}\,, \tag{3.66a}$$

$$\varphi_{\hat{x}_s}(\zeta) = \varphi_x(1-\zeta) \cdot 2^{\zeta - \frac{1}{2}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\zeta}{2})}{\Gamma(1 - \frac{\zeta}{2})}\,. \tag{3.66b}$$

hold.

To prove Lemma 3.11 we need some technical approximation result.

Definition 3.3.

1. *A function* $x(t)$ *defined on* $[0,\infty)$, *is said to be* c-good *if both* $x(t)$ *and its cosine Fourier transform* $\hat{x}_c(t)$ *are summable, i.e.* $\int\limits_0^\infty |x(t)|\,dt < \infty$, $\int\limits_0^\infty |\hat{x}_c(t)|\,dt < \infty$, *and moreover the functions* $x(t)$, $\hat{x}_c(t)$ *are continuous on* $[0,\infty)$ *and tend to* 0 *as* $t \to \infty$.
2. *A function* $x(t)$ *defined on* $[0,\infty)$, *is said to be* s-good *if both* $x(t)$ *and its sine Fourier transform* $\hat{x}_s(t)$ *are summable, i.e.* $\int\limits_0^\infty |x(t)|\,dt < \infty$, $\int\limits_0^\infty |\hat{x}_s(t)|\,dt < \infty$, *and moreover the functions* $x(t)$, $\hat{x}_s(t)$ *are continuous on* $[0,\infty)$ *and tend to* 0 *as* $t \to \infty$.

Lemma 3.12. *The set of all c-good functions is dense in* $L^2(0,\infty)$. *The set of all s-good functions is dense in* $L^2(0,\infty)$.

Proof. Let $h_n(t)$ be the functions defined by (3.21)-(3.22). (Strictly speaking, we consider the restrictions of the functions $h_n(t)$ on $[0,\infty)$.) Every function h_{2k} is c-good: $\hat{h_{2k}}_c = (-1)^k \hat{h_{2k}}$, every function h_{2k+1} is c-good: $\hat{h_{2k+1}}_s = (-1)^k \hat{h_{2k+1}}$. The set of all finite linear combinations of the functions h_{2k} is dense in $L^2([0,\infty))$. The set of all finite linear combinations of the functions h_{2k+1} also is dense in $L^2([0,\infty))$. □

Proof. Proof of Lemma 3.11. We prove first the equalities (3.66) assuming that the function $x(t)$ is "good" : c-good if we discuss (3.66a) and s-good if we discuss (3.66b). By definition (3.58) of the Melline transform,

$$\varphi_{\hat{x}_c}(\zeta) = \lim_{R\to\infty} \int\limits_0^R \hat{x}_c(t) t^{\zeta-1} dt\,, \quad \operatorname{Re}\zeta = \tfrac{1}{2}\,.$$

Substituting the expression (3.65a) for $\hat{x}_c(t)$ into the last formula, we obtain:

$$\varphi_{\hat{x}_c}(\zeta) = \lim_{R\to\infty} \int_0^R \Big(\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^\infty x(s)\, \cos(ts)\, ds\Big) t^{\zeta-1}\, dt\,, \quad \operatorname{Re}\zeta = \tfrac{1}{2}\,. \tag{3.67}$$

For fixes finite R, $0 < R < \infty$), we change the order of integration:

$$\int_0^R \Big(\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^\infty x(s)\, \cos(ts)\, ds\Big) t^{\zeta-1}\, dt = \int_0^\infty x(s) \Big(\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^R \cos(ts)\, t^{\zeta-1}\, dt\Big)\, ds\,. \tag{3.68}$$

The change of order of integration is justified by Fubini theorem. Changing the variable $ts = \tau$, we get

$$\int_0^R \cos(ts)\, t^{\zeta-1}\, dt = s^{-\zeta} \int_0^{Rs} \cos(\tau)\, \tau^{\zeta-1}\, d\tau\,.$$

Thus

$$\int_0^R \Big(\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^\infty x(s)\, \cos(ts)\, ds\Big) t^{\zeta-1}\, dt = \\ = \int_0^\infty x(s) s^{-\zeta} \Big(\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^{Rs} \cos(\tau)\, \tau^{\zeta-1}\, d\tau\Big)\, ds\,. \tag{3.69}$$

According to Lemma 3.10, for every $s > 0$,

$$\lim_{R\to\infty} \int_0^{Rs} \cos(\tau)\, \tau^{\zeta-1}\, d\tau = \Big(\cos\, \frac{\pi}{2}\zeta\Big)\, \Gamma(\zeta)\,, \tag{3.70}$$

For every $\zeta : 0 < \operatorname{Re}\zeta < 1$, the value $\int_0^\rho (\cos\tau)\tau^{\zeta-1}\, d\tau$, considered as a function of ρ, vanishes at $\rho = 0$, is continues function of ρ, and has a finite limit as $\rho \to \infty$,. Therefore there exist a finite $M(\zeta) < \infty$ such that for every $\rho :\, 0 \le \rho < \infty$, the estimate holds: $\Big|\int_0^\rho (\cos\tau)\tau^{\zeta-1}\, d\tau\Big| \le M(\zeta)$. Here the value $M(\zeta$ does not depend on ρ. In other words,

$$\Big|\int_0^{Rs} \cos(\tau)\, \tau^{\zeta-1}\, d\tau\Big| \le M(\zeta) < \infty \quad \forall\, s, R :\, 0 \le s < \infty,\, 0 \le R < \infty\,.$$

By Lebesgue theorem on dominating convergence,

$$\lim_{R\to\infty}\int_0^\infty x(s)s^{-\zeta}\left(\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\int_0^{Rs}\cos(\tau)\,\tau^{\zeta-1}\,d\tau\right)ds = \\ = \int_0^\infty x(s)s^{-\zeta}\left(\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\int_0^{\infty}\cos(\tau)\,\tau^{\zeta-1}\,d\tau\right)ds\,. \quad (3.71)$$

Unifying (3.67), (3.68), (3.69), (3.71) and taking into account (3.70) and (3.62a), we obtain that

$$\varphi_{\hat{x}_c}(\zeta) = \int_0^\infty x(s)\,s^{-\zeta}\,ds\;\cdot\;2^{\zeta-\frac{1}{2}}\frac{\Gamma(\frac{\zeta}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\zeta}{2})}\,.$$

To pass from this formula to (3.66a), it remains to observe that

$$\int_0^\infty x(s)\,s^{-\zeta}\,ds = \varphi_x(1-\zeta)\,.$$

We prove the equality (3.66a) under assumption that the function x is c-good.

To general $x \in L^2([0,\infty))$, the equality (3.66a) can be extended by approximation reasoning. Let $x \in L^2([0,\infty))$, and let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence of c-good functions which converges to x in $L^2([0,\infty))$. The sequence $\{(\widehat{x_n})_c\}$ of the cosine-Fourier transforms will converge to the cosine-Fourier transform $\{\hat{x}_c\}$ in $L^2([0,\infty))$. Since the correspondence $x(t) \to \varphi(\frac{1}{2}+i\eta)$ is an unitary mapping from $L^2([0,\infty)$ onto $L^2(-\infty,\infty)$, see (3.60), then $\varphi_{\hat{x}_c} \to \varphi_{\hat{x}_c}$, and $\varphi_{(\widehat{x_n})_c} \to \varphi_{(\widehat{x_n})_c}$. (The convergence is in L^2 on the vertical straight line $\zeta : \zeta = \frac{1}{2}+i\eta$.) Since the equality (3.66a) holds for the functions x_n, and the transform $\varphi(\zeta) \to \varphi(1-\zeta)$ is unitary in L^2 on the vertical line $\zeta : \zeta = \frac{1}{2}+i\eta$, this equality can be extended to an arbitrary $x \in L^2([0,\infty))$.

The equality (3.66b) can be proved analogously. □

Proof of Theorem 3.3.

1. Let x be an eigenfuction of $\mathcal{F}$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda = 1$. This means that

$$x(t) = \hat{x}_c(t)\,. \quad (3.72)$$

Since the correspondence between functions on $[0,\infty$ and their Mellin transforms is one-to-one, The equality (3.72) is equivalent to the equality

$$\varphi_x(\zeta) = \varphi_{\hat{x}_c}(\zeta) \quad \zeta = \tfrac{1}{2} + i\eta. \tag{3.73}$$

Taking into account the equality (3.66a), we conclude that the equality (3.72) is equivalent to the equalty

$$\varphi_x(\zeta) = \varphi_x(1-\zeta) \cdot 2^{\zeta - \frac{1}{2}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\zeta}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\zeta}{2})}. \tag{3.74}$$

Substituting $\zeta = \frac{1}{2} + i\eta$ to the last formula, we conclude that the equality (3.66a) is equivalent to the equality

$$\varphi_x(\tfrac{1}{2} + i\eta) = \varphi_x(\tfrac{1}{2} - i\eta) \cdot 2^{i\eta} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{4} + i\frac{\eta}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{4} - i\frac{\eta}{2})}. \tag{3.75}$$

The last equality means that the function

$$\psi_x(i\eta) = \frac{\varphi_x(\frac{1}{2} + i\eta)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{4} + i\frac{\eta}{2})}\, 2^{-i\frac{\eta}{2}} \tag{3.76}$$

is even:

$$\psi_x(i\eta) = \psi_x(-i\eta), \quad -\infty < \eta < \infty\,. \tag{3.77}$$

Thus, the condition (3.77), together with the condition

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\psi_x(\tfrac{1}{2} + i\eta) \cdot \Gamma(\frac{1}{4} + i\frac{\eta}{2})\, d\eta|^2 < \infty$$

is equivalent to the condition (3.66a) together with the condition $x \in L^2([0,\infty)$.

The statement 1 of Theorem 3.3 is proved. The Statements 2, 3 and 4 are proved in the same way. □

References

[Bur] BURCHNALL, J.L. *Symbolic relations assotiated with Fourier transforms.* Quart. Journ. of Math., Vol.**3** (1932), 213 - 223.

[DiWi] DICKE, R.H., WITTKE, J.P. *Introduction to quantum Mechanics.* Addison-Wesley, Reading MA, and London. 1960. xi+369 pp.

[Dir] DIRAC, P.A.M. *The Principles of Quantum Mechanics.* Third edition. Clarendon Press, Oxford 1947.xii+311 pp.

[HaTi1] HARDY, G.H., TITCHMARSH, E.T. *Self-reciprocal functions.* Quarterly Journ. of Math. (Oxford Ser.). **1** (1930), 196 - 231.

[HaTi2] HARDY, G.H., TITCHMARSH, E.T. *Formulae connecting different classes of self-reciprocal functions.* Proc. London Math. Soc. (Ser. **2**), **33** (1931), 225 - 232.

[KaMa1] KATSNELSON, V., MACHLUF, R. The truncated Fourier operator. I. arXiv:0807.2190.

[KaMa2] KATSNELSON, V., MACHLUF, R. The truncated Fourier operator. II. arXiv:0901.2709

[ME] ENCYCLOPAEDIA OF MATHEMATICS. Kluver Acad. Publ., Dordracht·Boston·London 1995.

[Tit] TITCHMARCH, E.C. *Introduction to the Theory of Fourier Integrals.* Clarendon Press, Oxford 1937. x+394pp. Third Edition. Chelsea PublishingCo., New York 1986.

[WhWa] WHITTAKER, E.T., WATSON, G.N. *A Course of Modern Analysis.* Fourth Edition. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge 1927. vi+608 pp.

Victor Katsnelson
Department of Mathematics
The Weizmann Institute
Rehovot, 76100, Israel
e-mail:
victor.katsnelson@weizmann.ac.il

Ronny Machluf
Department of Mathematics
The Weizmann Institute
Rehovot, 76100, Israel
e-mail:
ronny-haim.machluf@weizmann.ac.il